1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. CR16-0284JLR 10 **ORDER** Plaintiff, 11 v. 12 DONALD MCCOY, JR., 13 Defendant. 14 15 Before the court is Defendant Donald McCoy, Jr.'s motion for appointment of 16 counsel. (Mot. (Dkt. #87).) Mr. McCoy filed the instant motion pro se, even though he 17 is represented by counsel from the Federal Public Defender's Office. (See generally 18 Mot.; Dkt. (listing Vanessa Pai-Thompson and Andrew Kennedy as counsel of record for 19 Mr. McCoy).) A person who is represented by counsel cannot file *pro se* motions. See 20 *United States v. Gallardo*, 915 F. Supp. 216, 218 n.1 (D. Nev. 1995); see also Le v. 21 Almager, No. C 08-03293 SBA, 2013 WL 415632, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2013) ("A court need not consider pro se motions filed by a party who remains represented by 22

1 counsel."). Thus, the court STRIKES Mr. McCoy's pro se motion for appointment of 2 counsel (Dkt. # 87). 3 To the extent that Mr. Kennedy and Ms. Pai-Thompson wish to withdraw as 4 counsel for Mr. McCoy, they must follow the procedures as outlined in Local Rule 5 83.2(b). See Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 83.2(b); see also Local Criminal Rules W.D. Wash. LCrR 1(a) (stating that Local Rule 83.2(b) applies to criminal matters). Until they 6 7 do so, they remain counsel for Mr. McCoy, and the court will not consider any pleadings 8 filed by Mr. McCoy pro se. See Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 83.2(b) ("No attorney 9 shall withdraw an appearance in any case . . . except by leave of court "); Gallardo, 915 F. Supp. at 218 n.1; Le, 2013 WL 415632, at *1. 10 11 Dated this 19th day of May, 2022. 12 m R. Plut 13 JAMES L. ROBART 14 United States District Judge 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22